DOI:10.11721/cgnuj20130418

Uniqueness of Entire Functions Sharing a Polynomial

WU Chun

(College of Mathematics Science, Chongqing Normal University, Chongqing 401331, China)

Abstract: In this paper, we study the uniqueness of entire functions sharing a nonzero polynomials, and prove that if f(z)and g(z) be two transcendental entire functions, and let n,k and l be three positive integers satisfying 5l > 4n + 5k + 7. If $[L(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[L(g)]^{(k)}$ share P IM, where P is a nonzero polynomial with deg $P \leq 5$, then $f = \lambda_1 e^{\lambda Q(z)} + c$, $g = \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda Q(z)} + c$, or f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f,g) \equiv 0$, where $Q(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{z} p(z) dz$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda$ and c are constants such that $(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^n$ $(n\lambda)^2=-1$, and $R(\omega_1,\omega_2)=L(\omega_1)-L(\omega_2)$. Moreover, we also obtain the results that $[L(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[L(g)]^{(k)}$ share the fixed point IM or CM.

Key words: uniqueness; entire functions; sharing a polynomial; dierential polynomial

中图分类号: 0174.5

文献标志码:A

文章编号:1672-6693(2013)04-0090-08

1 Introduction and main results

In this paper, a meromorphic function will mean meromorphic in the whole complex plane. We will use the standard notations of Nevanlinna's value distribution theory such as T(r,f), N(r,f), N(r,f), m(r,f) and so on, as explained in Hayman^[1], $Yang^{[2]}$ and Yi and $Yang^{[3]}$.

Let a be a finite complex number, and k be a positive integer. We denote by $N_{k}\left(r,\frac{1}{t-a}\right)$ the counting function for zeros of f-a with multiplicity $\leq k$, and by $\overline{N}_{k}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Let $N_{k}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ be the counting function for zeros of f-a with multiplicity at least kand $\overline{N}_{(k)}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Set $N_k\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right)=\overline{N}$ $\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right)+\overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right)+\cdots+\overline{N}_{(k}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right).$

We define $\Theta(a,f) = 1 - \overline{\lim_{r \to a}} \frac{\overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f-a})}{T(r,f)}$, and $\delta_k(a,f) = 1 - \overline{\lim_{r \to a}} \frac{N_k(r,\frac{1}{f-a})}{T(r,f)}$.

Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, a be a finite complex number. The definitions of f,g sharing the value a CM (or IM), $\overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right)$, $N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{F'}\right)$ and $\overline{N}_{f>k}\left(r,\frac{1}{g-1}\right)$. We refer the reader to [3-5]. In $\lceil 6 \rceil$, Fang got the following results.

Received: 04-12-2012 **Accepted:** 06-19-2013

Foundation: Youth Foundation of Chongqing Normal University (No. 12XWQ17); Chongqing City Board of Education Science and Technology Project (No. KJ130632)

First author biography: WU Chun, male, lechure, master, engaged in the study of value distribution theory, E-mail: wuchun@cqnu. edu. cn

收稿日期:2012-04-12 修回日期:2013-06-19 网络出版时间:2013-07-20 19:23

资助项目:重庆师范大学校级青年基金(No. 12XWQ17);重庆市教委科技项目(No. KJ130632)

作者简介:吴春,男,讲师,硕士,研究方向为值分布理论,E-mail: wuchun@cqnu. edu. cn

网络出版地址:http://www.cnki.net/kcms/detail/50.1165. N. 20130720.1923.201304.90_015.html

Theorem A Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions, and let n,k be two positive integers with n > 2k+4. If $(f^n)^{(k)}$ and $(g^n)^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then either $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1 , c_2 and c_3 are three constants satisfying $(-1)^k$ $(c_1c_2)^n$ $(nc)^{2k} = 1$ or f = tg for a constant t such that $t^n = 1$.

Theorem B Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions, and let n,k be two positive integers with n > 2k + 8. If $(f^n(f-1))^{(k)}$ and $(g^n(g-1))^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then f = g.

In 2008, J. F. Chen, X. Y. Zhang^[7] improved the above result and obtained the following result.

Theorem C Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions, and let n,k be two positive integers with n > 5k+7. If $(f^n)^{(k)}$ and $(g^n)^{(k)}$ share 1 IM, then either $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1 , c_2 and c are three constants satisfying $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^n (nc)^{2k} = 1$ or f = tg for a constant t such that $t^n = 1$.

Theorem D Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions, and let n,k be two positive integers with n > 5k+13. If $(f^n(f-1))^{(k)}$ and $(g^n(g-1))^{(k)}$ share 1 IM, then f=g.

In 2008, X. Y. Zhang, J. F. Chen and W. C. Lin^[8] extended the above result by proving the following result.

Theorem E Let f and g be two entire functions; let n,m and k be three positive integers with n > 3m + 2k + 5, and let $P(z) = a_m z^m + a_{m-1} z^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0$ or P(z) = C, where $a_0 \ne 0, a_1, \cdots, a_{m-1}, a_m \ne 0$, $C \ne 0$ are complex constants. If $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then either $f(z) = \lambda_1 e^{\lambda z}$, $g(z) = \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda z}$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda$ are three constants satisfying $(-1)^k (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^n (n\lambda)^{2k} C^2 = 1$, or f(z) and g(z) satisfy the algebraic equation R(f,g) = 0, where $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1^n p(\omega_1) - \omega_2^n p(\omega_2)$.

In this paper we always use L(z) denoting a arbitrary polynomial of degree n, i. e.

$$L(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = a_n (z - c)^{l_1} (z - c_2)^{l_2} \dots (z - c_s)^{l_s}$$
(1)

where a_i ($i=0,1,\dots,n$), $a_n\neq 0$ and c_j ($j=1,2,\dots,s$) are finite complex number constants, and c,c_2,\dots,c_s are all the distinct zeros of L(z), l_1 , l_2 , \dots , l_s , s, n are all positive integers satisfying

$$l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_s = n$$
, and let $l = \max\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ (2)

Corresponding to the above results, some authors considered the uniqueness problems of entire functions that have fixed points, see M. L. Fang and H. Qiu^[9], W. C. Lin and H. X. Yi^[10], J. Dou, X. G. Qi and L. Z. Yang^[11].

In this paper, we consider the existence of solutions of $[L(f)]^{(k)} - P$ and the corresponding uniqueness theorems, and we obtain the following results which generalize the above theorems.

Theorem 1 Let f be a transcendental entire function. When n > k + s, then $[L(f)]^{(k)} = P$ has infinitely many solutions, where $P \not\equiv 0$ is a polynomial.

Remark 1 It is easy to see that a polynomial Q(z) - P(z) has exactly $\max\{m,n\}$ solutions (counting multiplicities), where $\deg Q = m$, $\deg P = n$, but a transcendental entire function may have no solution. For example, let $f(z) = e^{a(z)} + P(z)$, then function f(z) - P(z) has no any solution, where $\alpha(z)$ is an entire function.

Theorem 2 f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental entire functions, and let n,k and l be three positive integers satisfying 5l > 4n + 5k + 7. If $[L(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[L(g)]^{(k)}$ share P IM, where P is a nonzero polynomial with deg $P \le 5$, then $f = \lambda_1 e^{\lambda Q(z)} + c$, $g = \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda Q(z)} + c$, or f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f,g) \equiv 0$, where $Q(z) = \int_0^z p(z) dz$, λ_1 , λ_2 , λ_3 and c are constants such that $(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^n (n\lambda)^2 = -1$, and $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = L(\omega_1) - L(\omega_2)$.

Remark 2 When l=n, l=n-1, respectively, and c=0, P(z)=1, from Theorem 2 we can easily get Theorem C,D.

Corollary 1 Let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental entire functions, and let n, k and l be three positive integers satisfying 5l > 4n + 5k + 7. If $[L(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[L(g)]^{(k)}$ have the same fixed points ignoring multiplicities, then $f = \lambda_1 e^{\lambda z^2} + c$, $g = \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda z^2} + c$, or f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f,g) \equiv 0$, where λ_1 , λ_2 , λ and c are constants, satisfying $4(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^n(\lambda)^2 = -1$, and $R(\omega_1,\omega_2) = L(\omega_1) - L(\omega_2)$.

Theorem 3 Let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental entire functions, and let n,k and l be three positive

integers satisfying $l > \frac{n}{2} + k + 2$. If $[L(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[L(g)]^{(k)}$ share P CM, where P is a nonzero polynomial with deg $P \le 5$, then $f = \lambda_1 e^{\lambda Q(z)} + c$, $g = \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda Q(z)} + c$, or f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f,g) \equiv 0$, where $Q(z) = \int_0^z p(z) dz$, λ_1 , λ_2 , λ and c are constants such that $(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^n (n\lambda)^2 = -1$, and $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = L(\omega_1) - L(\omega_2)$.

Remark 3 When l=n, c=0 and P(z)=1, from Theorem 3 we can easily get Theorem A; When l=n-1, l=n-m, respectively, and c=0, P(z)=1, Theorem 3 improves Theorem B, E.

Corollary 2 Let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental entire functions, and let n,k and l be three positive integers satisfying $l > \frac{n}{2} + k + 2$. If $[L(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[L(g)]^{(k)}$ have the same fixed points counting multiplicities, then $f = \lambda_1 e^{\lambda z^2} + c$, $g = \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda z^2} + c$, or f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f,g) \equiv 0$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda$ and c are constants, satisfying $4(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^n(\lambda)^2 = -1$, and $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = L(\omega_1) - L(\omega_2)$.

Remark 4 If $L(f) \equiv L(g)$, we obtain $a_n f^n + a_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 f \equiv a_n g^n + a_{n-1} g^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 g$.

Let $h = \frac{f}{g}$. If h is a constant, then substituting f = gh into above equation we deduce $a_n g^n (h^n - 1) + a_{n-1} g^{n-1} (h^{n-1} - 1) + \dots + a_1 g(h-1) \equiv 0$, which implies $h^d = 1, d = (n, \dots, n-i, \dots, 1), a_{n-i} \neq 0$ for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Thus $f \equiv tg$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$. If h is not a constant, then we know by above equation that f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = L(\omega_1) - L(\omega_2)$.

Remark 5 Moreover, let L(z) is a generic polynomial of degree at least 5. Then from the equation $L(f) \equiv L(g)$, one can conclude that $f \equiv g$ and no other nonconstant meromorphic solutions f and g. In [12], Yang-Hua exhibits some classes of such polynomials. And some related definitions and results, we refer the reader to [13-14].

2 Some lemmas

Lemma $1^{[1]}$ Let f(z) be a nonconstant meromorphic function and let $a_1(z)$, $a_2(z)$ be two meromorphic functions such that $T(r,a_i)=S(r,f)$ (i=1,2). Then $T(r,f)=\overline{N}(r,f)+\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a_1}\right)+\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a_2}\right)+S(r,f)$.

Lemma $2^{[15]}$ Let f(z) be a non-constant meromorphic function, let $k(\geqslant 1)$ be a positive integer and let φ $(\neq 0, \infty)$ be a small function of f. Then

$$T(r,f) \leqslant \overline{N}(r,f) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)} - \varphi}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{(f^{(k)}/\varphi)'}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$\tag{3}$$

Lemma $3^{[16]}$ Let $a_n \neq 0$, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0 be constants, and let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function, then $T(r, a_n f^n + a_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 f + a_0) = nT(r, f)$.

Lemma $4^{[17]}$ Let f(z) be a non-constant meromorphic function, s,k be two positive integers. Then $N_s\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leqslant k \, \overline{N}(r,f) + N_{s+k}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r,f)$.

Clearly,
$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) = N_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)$$
.

Lemma $5^{[4]}$ Let f(z) be a nonconstant entire function, n,k a positive integer, and let c be a nonzero finite complex number. Then $T(r,f) \leq N\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}-c}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + S\left(r,f\right) \leq N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}-c}\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r,f)$, where $N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)$ is the counting function which only counts those points such that $f^{(k+1)} = 0$ but $f(f^{(k)} - c) \neq 0$.

Lemma $6^{[4]}$ Let f(z) be a nonconstant meromorphic function, and let k be a positive integer. Suppose that $f^{(k)} \not\equiv 0$, then $N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leqslant k \, \overline{N}(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f)$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Lemma} \ 7^{\text{\tiny [5]}} & \text{Let} \ f,g \ \text{share} \ (1,0). \ \text{Then i)} \ \overline{N}_{f \geq 1} \bigg(r,\frac{1}{g-1} \bigg) \leqslant \overline{N}(r,f) + \overline{N} \bigg(r,\frac{1}{f} \bigg) - N_0 \bigg(r,\frac{1}{f'} \bigg) + S(r,f) \, ; \text{ii}) \\ \overline{N}_{g \geq 1} \bigg(r,\frac{1}{f-1} \bigg) \leqslant \overline{N}(r,g) + \overline{N} \bigg(r,\frac{1}{g} \bigg) - N_0 \bigg(r,\frac{1}{g'} \bigg) + S(r,g). \end{array}$$

Lemma 8 Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions, k be a positive integer. If $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share a nonzero polynomial p(z) IM, and if $\Delta = \delta_{k+2}(0,g) + \delta_{k+2}(0,f) + \delta_{k+1}(0,f) + 2\delta_{k+1}(0,g) > 4$, then $\frac{p}{f^{(k)} - p} = \frac{bg^{(k)} + (a-b)p}{g^{(k)} - p}$, where a,b are two constants.

Proof Let

$$F = \frac{f^{(k)}}{p}, G = \frac{g^{(k)}}{p} \tag{4}$$

and let

$$h = \left[\frac{F''}{F} - \frac{2F'}{F-1}\right] - \left[\frac{G''}{G} - \frac{2G'}{G-1}\right] \tag{5}$$

Clearly m(r,h) = S(r,f) + S(r,g). Since $f^{(k)} - p$ and $g^{(k)} - p$ share 0 IM, a simple computation on local expansions shows that $h(z_0) = 0$, if z_0 is a common simple zero of $f^{(k)} - p$ and $g^{(k)} - p$. Next we consider two cases: $h \not\equiv 0$ and $h \equiv 0$.

If $h \not\equiv 0$, then

$$N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) = N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{h}\right) + O(1) \leq N(r, h) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)$$
(6)

Let $z_0 \notin \{z: p(z) = 0\}$ be a common simple zero of $f^{(k)} - p$ and $g^{(k)} - p$. Then it follows from (4) that z_0 is a common simple zero of F-1 and G-1, by calculating we get $h(z_0) = 0$.

Let $z_1 \notin \{z: p(z) = 0\}$ be a simple pole of F, then by calculating we see that $\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1}$ is analytic at z_1 .

Similarly, if $z_2 \notin \{z, p(z) = 0\}$ be a simple pole of G, we see that $\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1}$ is analytic at z_2 . Thus, we see that the poles of G come from those common zeros of G and G such that the multiplicity of each such zero of G is different from that of the same zero of G, those zeros of G that are not the zeros of G that are not the zeros of G with their multiplicities g, and each point is counted only once. Therefore,

$$N(r,h) \leq \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + N_{0}\left(r,\frac{1}{F'}\right) + N_{0}\left(r,\frac{1}{G'}\right) + \overline{N}_{L}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_{L}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) + O(\log r)$$
 (7)

Suppose that $z_0 \notin \{z: p(z) = 0\}$ is a zero of F with its multiplicity $\tau \geqslant k+2$, then it follows from (4) that z_0 is a zero of F' with its multiplicity $\tau - k - 1 \geqslant 1$. Thus from (4) and Lemma 2 we get

$$T(r,g) \leq N\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r,f) \leq N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{g}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) - N_{0}\left(r,\frac{1}{G'}\right) + O(\log r) + S(r,f) \leq N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{g}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) - N_{0}\left(r,\frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$(8)$$

Since

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) = N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_{G>1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)$$
(9)

Thus we deduce from $(4) \sim (9)$ that

$$T(r,g) \leq N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{g}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + N_{0}\left(r,\frac{1}{F'}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2)$$

From the definition of $N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{F'}\right)$, we see that $N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{F'}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) + N_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) - \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) \leqslant N\left(r,\frac{1}{F'}\right)$.

The above inequality and Lemma 6 give

$$N_{0}\left(r,\frac{1}{F'}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) \leqslant N\left(r,\frac{1}{F'}\right) - N_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) \leqslant N\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) - N_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r,f) \leqslant \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$(11)$$

From (4) and Lemma 4 we obtain

$$\overline{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \leqslant N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) - \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \leqslant N\left(r, \frac{F'}{F}\right) \leqslant \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r, F) \leqslant \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + O(\log r) + S(r, f) \leqslant N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f) \tag{12}$$

Similarly

$$\overline{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leqslant N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, g)$$
(13)

From $(10)\sim(13)$, Lemma 4 and Lemma 7 we get

$$T(r,g) \leq N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{g}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{g}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) - N_{0}\left(r,\frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \leq N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{g}\right) + N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + 2N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{g}\right) - O(\log r) + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \leq N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{g}\right) + N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + 2N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r,f) + S(r,g)$$

$$(14)$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose that there exists a set I with infinite measure such that $T(r,f) \leq T(r,g)$ for $r \in I$. Hence

$$T(r,g) \leq \{ [1 - \delta_{k+2}(0,g)] + [1 - \delta_{k+2}(0,f)] + [1 - \delta_{k+1}(0,f)] + 2[1 - \delta_{k+1}(0,g)] + \epsilon \} T(r,g) + S(r,g)$$
(15)

for $r \in I$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \Delta - 4$, that is $\{\Delta - 4 - \varepsilon\} T(r,g) \le S(r,g)$. i. e. $\Delta - 4 \le 0$, which is a contradiction to our hypotheses $\Delta > 4$.

Hence, we get $h\equiv 0$. Therefore by (5), we have $\frac{F''}{F}-\frac{2F'}{F-1}=\frac{G''}{G}-\frac{2G'}{G-1}$.

By integrating two sides of the above equality, we obtain $\frac{p}{f^{(k)}-p} = \frac{bg^{(k)}+(a-b)p}{g^{(k)}-p}$, where $a \neq 0$ and b are constants. The proof of the Lemma is completed.

Lemma 9 Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions, k be a positive integer. If $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share a nonzero polynomial p(z) CM, and if $\Delta = \delta_{k+2}(0,g) + \delta_{k+2}(0,f) > 1$, then $\frac{p}{f^{(k)} - p} = \frac{bg^{(k)} + (a-b)p}{g^{(k)} - p}$, where a,b are two constants.

Proof Since $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share the value p(z) CM, we have $\overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{F-1}\right) = \overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{G-1}\right) = 0$. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 8, we obtain conclusion of Lemma 9.

By using the main idea is from [11,18], we easily obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 10 Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions, and let $P(z) \not\equiv 0$ be a polynomial. If $[f^n]^{(k)}[g^n]^{(k)} \equiv p^2$ and deg $p \leqslant 5$, then $f = b_1 e^{iQ}$, $g = b_2 e^{-iQ}$, where b_1 , b_2 , b are three constants satisfying $(b_1b_2)^n$ $(nb)^2 = -1$, Q is a polynomial satisfying $Q = \int_0^z p(\eta) d\eta$.

3 Proof of theorems

3. 1 Proof of theorem 1

Because f is a transcendental entire, we get T(r,p) = o(T(r,f)). Suppose that $z_0 \notin \{z: p(z) = 0\}$ is a zero

of L(f) with its multiplicity $l \ge k+2$, then z_0 is a zero of $\frac{[L(f)]^{(k)}}{P'}$ with its multiplicity $l-k-1 \ge 1$. From Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we have

$$nT(r,f) = T(r,L(f)) + S(r,f) \leqslant N\left(r,\frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{L(f)^{(k)}-p}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{(L(f)^{(k)}/p)'}\right) + S(r,f) \leqslant N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{L(f)^{(k)}-p}\right) - N_{0}\left(r,\frac{1}{(L(f)^{(k)}/p)'}\right) + S(r,f) \leqslant N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{(f-c_{1})^{l_{1}}}\right) + \cdots + N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{(f-c_{s})^{k}}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{L(f)^{(k)}-p}\right) + S(r,f) \leqslant (k+s)T(r,f) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{L(f)^{(k)}-p}\right) + S(r,f)$$

Thus, we get $(n-k-s)T(r,f) \le \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{L(f)^{(k)}-p}\right) + S(r,f)$. Noting that n > k+s, we get $L(f)^{(k)} = p$

has infinitely many solutions.

3. 2 Proof of theorem 2

Let L(z) and l be given by (1) and (2), respectively. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $a_n = 1$, $l = l_1$, and $c = c_1$. We get

$$\begin{split} \delta_{k+1}(0,L(f)) = & 1 - \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \, \frac{N_{k+1}(r,1/L(f))}{T(r,L(f))} \geqslant 1 - \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \, \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{s} N_{k+1}(r,1/\left(f-c_{j}\right)^{l_{j}}) + N_{k+1}(r,1/\left(f-c\right)^{l})}{nT(r,f)} \geqslant \\ & 1 - \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \, \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{s} \left(s-1\right)T(r,f) + \left(k+1\right)T(r,f) + S(r,f)}{nT(r,f)} \geqslant 1 - \frac{s+k}{n} \geqslant \frac{l-k-1}{n} \end{split}$$

Similarly, we have $\delta_{k+1}(0, L(g)) \geqslant 1 - \frac{s+k}{n} \geqslant \frac{l-k-1}{n}$. Because $5l \geqslant 4n + 5k + 7$, we obtain $\delta_{k+2}(0, L(g)) + \delta_{k+2}(0, L(f)) + \delta_{k+1}(0, L(f)) + 2\delta_{k+1}(0, L(g)) \geqslant 4$.

By Lemma 8, we can obtain

$$\frac{p}{L(f)^{(k)} - p} = \frac{bL(g)^{(k)} + (a - b)p}{L(g)^{(k)} - p}$$
(16)

Next, we consider the following three cases.

Case 1 $b\neq 0, a=b$. Then from (16) we have

$$\frac{p}{L(f)^{(k)} - p} = \frac{bL(g)^{(k)}}{L(g)^{(k)} - p}$$
(17)

Case 1.1 If b=-1, then it follows from (17) that $[L(f)]^{(k)}[L(g)]^{(k)} \equiv p^2$.

That is

$$\left[(f-c)^{l} (f-c_{2})^{l_{2}} \cdots (f-c_{s})^{l_{s}} \right]^{(k)} \left[(g-c)^{l} (g-c_{2})^{l_{2}} \cdots (g-c_{s})^{l_{s}} \right]^{(k)} \equiv p^{2}$$

$$(18)$$

Case 1.1.1 When s=1, we can rewrite (18) $[(f-c)^n]^{(k)} \cdot [(g-c)^n]^{(k)} \equiv p^2$. By using Lemma 10, we can easily obtain $f=b_1e^{iQ}+c$, $g=b_2e^{-iQ}+c$, where b_1 , b_2 , b are three constants satisfying $(b_1b_2)^n$ $(nb)^2=-1$, Q is a polynomial satisfying $Q=\int_0^z p(\eta) d\eta$.

Case 1.1.2 When $s \ge 2$, we notice that 5l > 4n + 5k + 7, hence l > 5k + 7. Suppose that $z_0 \notin \{z, p(z) = 0\}$ is a l-fold zero of f - c, we know that z_0 must be a l - k-fold zero of $[(f - c)^l (f - c_2)^{l_2} \cdots (f - c_s)^{l_s}]^{(k)}$. Noting that g is an entire function, it follows from (18), which is a contradiction. Hence $f - c \ne 0$, $g - c \ne 0$. So we get $f = e^{a(z)} + c$, where a(z) is a nonconstant entire function. Thus we have

$$[f^{i}]^{(k)} = [(e^{a(z)} + c)^{i}]^{(k)} = p_{i}(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)}) e^{i\alpha}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
(19)

where $p_i(i=1,2,\cdots,n)$ is differential polynomials about $\alpha',\alpha'',\cdots,\alpha^{(k)}$. Obviously, $p_i\not\equiv 0$, $T(r,p_i)=S(r,f)$

$$(i=1,2,\cdots,n)$$
, we get from (18) to (19) that $N\left(r,\frac{1}{p_ne^{(n-1)a}+\cdots+p_1}\right)=S(r,f)$.

According to Lemma 1 and Lemma 5 and $f = e^{a(z)} + c$, we get

$$(n-1)T(r,f-c) = T(r,p_n e^{(n-1)a} + \dots + p_1) + S(r,f) \leqslant \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{p_n e^{(n-1)a} + \dots + p_1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{p_n e^{(n-1)a} + \dots + p_2}\right) + S(r,f) \leqslant \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{p_n e^{(n-2)a} + \dots + p_2}\right) + S(r,f) \leqslant (n-2)T(r,f-c) + S(r,f)$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 1. 2 If $a=b\neq -1$, then (17) that can be written as

$$\frac{L(g)^{(k)}}{p} = \frac{-1}{b} \cdot \frac{1}{L(f)^{(k)}/p - (1+b)/b}$$
 (20)

From (20), we get

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)^{(k)}/p - (1+b)/b}\right) = \overline{N}(r, g) + O(\log r) = S(r, f)$$
(21)

by (21) and Lemma 2, we get

$$nT(r,f) = T(r,L(f)) + O(1) \leqslant N\left(r,\frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{L\left(f\right)^{(k)}/p - (1+b)/b}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{(L\left(f\right)^{(k)}/p)'}\right) + S(r,f) \leqslant N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + \overline{N}(r,g) + O(\log r) + S(r,f) \leqslant N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + S(r,f) \leqslant N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{(f-c)^{l}}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{(f-c_{2})^{l_{2}}\cdots(f-c_{s})^{l_{s}}}\right) + S(r,f) \leqslant (k+s)T(r,f) + S(r,f) \leqslant (k+n-l+1)T(r,f) + S(r,f)$$

which is a contradiction, because 5l > 4n + 5k + 7.

Case 2 $b\neq 0, a\neq b$. We discuss the following we subcases:

Case 2.1 Suppose that b=-1, then $a\neq 0$ and (16) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{L(f)^{(k)}}{p} = \frac{a}{a+1-L(g)^{(k)}/p}$$
 (22)

From (22) we get

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{a+1-L\left(g\right)^{(k)}/p}\right) = \overline{N}(r, f) + O(\log r) = S(r, g)$$
(23)

From (23), Lemma 2 and Lemma 4, we get $nT(r,g) = T(r,L(g)) + O(1) \leq N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + S(r,g)$.

Next, by using the argument as in case 1.2, we get a contradiction.

Case 2. 2 Suppose that $b \neq -1$, then (16) be rewritten as

$$\frac{L(f)^{(k)}}{p} - \frac{b+1}{b} = \frac{-a}{b^2} \cdot \frac{1}{L(g)^{(k)}/p + (a-b)/b}$$
 (24)

From(24), we get

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{L(f)^{(k)}/p - (b+1)/b}\right) = \overline{N}(r, g) + O(\log r)$$
(25)

From (25), Lemma 2, Lemma 4 and in the same manner as in case 1.2, we can get a contradiction.

Case 3 $b=0, a\neq 0$. Then (16) can be rewritten as

$$L(g) = aL(f) + (1-a) p_1(z)$$
(26)

where $p_1(z)$ is a polynomial with its deg $p_1 \ge k+1$. If $a \ne 1$, then $(1-a)p_1(z) \ne 0$. This together with (26) and Lemma 1, we get

$$nT(r,g) = T(r,L(g)) + O(1) \leqslant \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{L(f)}\right) + S(r,g) \leqslant$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{g-c_{i}}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-c_{i}}\right) + S(r,g) \leqslant s[T(r,g) + T(r,f)] + S(r,g)$$
(27)

because $n=l+l_2+\cdots+l_s$, we get $n-l=l_2+\cdots+l_s\geqslant s-1$, i. e., $n-l\geqslant s-1$. From 5l>4n+5k+7, we have l-1>4(n-l)+5k+6>4(s-1)+5k+6, so $n-s\geqslant l-1>4(s-1)+5k+6$, i. e., n-s>4(s-1)+5k+6, thus, $s<\frac{n-5k-2}{5}$. Thus

$$nT(r,g) < \frac{n-5k-2}{5} \left[T(r,g) + T(r,f) \right] + S(r,g)$$
(28)

On the other hand, from (26) and Lemma 3, we see that T(r,g) = T(r,f) + S(r,g).

Substituting this into (28), we deduce that $\frac{3n+10k+4}{5}T(r,g) < S(r,g)$, which is a contradiction. Thus a=1, and so it follows from (26) that L(f)=L(g).

Hence, this completes the proof of Theorem 2.

3.3 Proof of theorem 3

By using lemma 9 and the condition $l > \frac{n}{2} + k + 2$, proceeding as in the proof of theorem 2, we can similarly prove theorem 3. We omit the details here.

References:

- [1] Hayman W K. Meromorphic functions [M]. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1964.
- [2] Yang L. Value distribution theory [M]. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [3] Yang C C, Yi H X. Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions[M]. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.
- [4] Yang C C, Yi H X. Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions M. Beijing: Science Press, 1995.
- [5] Banerjee A. Meromorphic functions sharing one value[J]. Int J Math Math Sci, 2005, 22:3587-3598.
- [6] Fang M L. Uniqueness and value-sharing of entire functions [J]. Comput Math Appl, 2002, 44:823-831.
- [7] Chen J F, Zhang X Y, Lin W C, et al. Uniqueness of entire functions that share one value [J]. Comput Math Appl, 2008, 56:3000-3014.
- [8] Zhang X Y, Chen J F, Lin W C. Entire or meromorphic functions sharing one value[J]. Comput Math Appl, 2008, 56;1876-1883.
- [9] Fang M L, Qiu H. Meromorphic functions that share fixed-points[J]. J Math Anal Appl, 2002, 268: 426-439.
- [10] Lin W C, Yi H X. Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions concerning fixed-points[J]. Complex Var Theo-

- ry Appl, 2004, 49: 793-806.
- [11] Dou J, Qi X G, Yang L Z. Entire functions that share fixed-Points[J]. Bull Malays Math Sci Soc, 2011, 34:355-367.
- [12] Yang C C, Hua X H. Unique polynomials of entire and meromorphic functions [J]. Matematicheskaya Fizika Analiz Geometriya, 1997, 4:391-398.
- [13] Hua X H, Yang C C. Unqueness problems of entire and meromorphic functions[J]. Bull. Hong Kong Math Soc, 1997,1;289-300.
- [14] Yang C C, Li P. Some further results on the functional equation [J]. Value Distribution Theory and Related Topics, P(F) = Q(G), 2004(3); 219-231.
- [15] Yang L. Normality for family of meromorphic functions [J]. Sci Sinica Ser A,1986,29:1263-1274.
- [16] Yang C C. On deficiencies of differential polynomials II [J]. Math Z,1972,149:107-112.
- [17] Lin W C, Yi H X. Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic function [J]. Indian J Pure Appl Math, 2004, 35;121-132.
- [18] Zhang X B, Xu J F. Uniqueness of meromorphic functions sharing a small function and its applications[J]. Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 2011, 61:722-730.

分担一个多项式的全纯函数的唯一性

吴 春

(重庆师范大学 数学学院, 重庆 401331)